A Study of the Legacy of the Quit India Movement in Contemporary India

Kaushlendra Kumar Kaushal

M.Phil, Roll No: 150339

Session: 2014-15

University Department of History

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

Abstract

The Indian National Congress led the largest mass movement in history, the Quit India Movement of 1942, which was marked by intermittent and unplanned uprisings. In numerous cities around the nation, it became violent. It was intense in Kolkata and several western areas of Bengal, but generally mild in the eastern regions. However, there is readily available proof of widespread popular mobilisation for this movement in certain eastern areas. However, public historical materials on the Quit India movement in Eastern Bengal are hard to get by now after a lengthy period of time. Reports from newspapers are similarly scarce. Only a few police records on public agitations vs police operations are found in the archived papers. The history of the Quit India Movement, however, has so far avoided discussing what transpired in the eastern regions of Bengal. This research makes an effort to clarify the media rhetoric and widespread mobilization of the Quit India Movement in Eastern Bengal against this background.

Keywords: Indian National Congress, Quit India Movement, Contemporary India, eastern regions of Bengal.

1. Introduction

The "Quit India" movement of 1942 was the most famous public movement in late-pioneer India. Its significance lay not only in how well it captured the apex of the anti-British war but also in how it looked ahead. It was shown by both its well-known teamwork and by specific processes that looked at the weaknesses in Indian culture inside. On August 8, 1942, at the Gowalia Tank Maidan, the All India Congress Panel issued a call for the English administration to leave India (Bombay). This proposal was made to guarantee Indians' progress both materially and socially as a free people and to guarantee their collaboration as a whole in the struggle against fascism.

The English experts' reluctance to "Stop India," so to speak, prompted Mahatma Gandhi to advise his people to "sink or swim" in an attempt to free themselves from the burden of being a burden to others. Gandhi's address also included specific directives for certain segments of the public. Following the Mahatma's call to arms, there was a widespread uprising that led to

the loss of English rule over substantial areas of the country, particularly in the Ganga Valley. Tamluk, Medinapur (Bengal), Satara, Talchar, Orissa, Ballia, and other places all had active public administration. The Emissary Ruler Linlithgow's judgement of the seriousness and strength of the movement in this instance speaks for itself.

On August 31, 1942, the messenger to Winston Churchill, the head of state, said that he was imprisoned in order to "gather by far the most serious disobedience since that of 1857, the intensity and degree of which we have thus far kept from the world for reasons of military security.

The first six or seven weeks after August 9 saw a major mass rebellion over the whole country. People came up with a wide range of ways to protest the detention of public pioneers. Massive crowds assaulted police stations, post offices, kutcheris (courts), rail stations, and other government representatives in various locations. Public banners against the police were successfully erected on exposed structures. The response to the captures on August 9, 1942, was particularly dramatic in eastern U.P., where the people's movement had reached its peak. The astounding importance of the long-lasting "Quit India" campaign was that it quickly scheduled the demand for independence with the popular movement. The form of future contacts with the English government must be one of force. Freedom was not now up for discussion. The English were told not to worry about India and that it was a mistake for them to believe that any group of Indians, whether Muslims, disenfranchised classes, or state citizens, tended to support maintaining their standards. "The events of 1942 had demonstrated how simple it was for fomenters to touch the hordes and to build organised legislatures unthinkable over broad areas of the country," writes Wheeler, Lord George VI's biographer. Churchill, who was then the Head of state, indicated to the Ruler that "the prospect of the exchange of force in India had turned into a recognised inevitability in the personalities of the English Party Pioneers," in sharp contradiction to his public statements. On July 28, 1942, The Ruler noted in his journal that "He (Churchill) shocked me by saying that his supporters and each of the three parliamentary groupings were anxious to give over India to the Indians after the war. He thought they had already been convinced to give up India. According to Cripps, the press, and the consensus in the US, our activity in India is and has always been off-base for India.

1.1. Theorizing the Masses

Even when members of various socioeconomic classes join a movement, we nevertheless refer to it as a mass movement. When a movement successfully communicates the inventive brilliance and vigour of its participants, it may draw in vast numbers of people. According to E.J. Hobsbawm, the backdrop of mass movements in the eighteenth century is where the historical context of the commoners as a notable subject of inquiry starts. He recognises Michelet as the most notable authority on popular history, with his work focusing on the unrivalled French Upheaval. Since then, the historical setting of the French Unrest has further acted as a testing ground for this form of history, particularly since communism revived and enlightened Jacobinism. George Lefebvre is the historian most capable of foreseeing the majority of contemporary problems; his book Extraordinary Trepidation, which was translated into English 40 years ago, is still strikingly relevant today. To be even more blunt, the majority of the topics and, surprisingly, the techniques for popular history, developed by Marc Bloch and Georges Lefebvre, were established by the general French practise of historiography, which was rich in the collection of experiences not of the French decision class but of the French people.

1.2. Research Objective

- To Explain the Quit India Movement.

- To Analyze how M. Gandhi Influenced the Quit India Movement.

2. Launching of Quit India Movement

The well-known legislatures were established in the majority of the English Indian colonies between 1937 and 1939 after the judgments rendered in line with the requirements of the Public Authority of India Act, 1935. In some of the places, the congress had formed legislatures and increased its role. When WWII was formally declared on September 3, 1939, the English government proclaimed that India was also a party to the conflict and would fight for the sake of the Allies. The Indian Public Congress and the prominent services denounced this since they hadn't been given counsel on such a crucial matter. The inexperienced government making a decision without contacting the Indians or their representatives was very dishonorable and

wrong. The English government's irresponsible actions shook the nation's sense of patriotism, and the Indian Public Congress was severely injured. The Congress was acting alone in regards to the probe at the beginning of the conflict. The socialist forces did not push India to take part in this "radical battle." They thought that in order to advance freedom, the congress need to organize an insurrectionary movement. Gandhi said that his personal sympathies were with Britain and France at their meeting with the envoy on September 5, 1939, and that the thought of London being destroyed was what ultimately caused him to break away. Gandhi summarized this interview in a short article:

I haven't been considering India's redemption lately. It will happen, but what will it be worth if Britain and France lose, or on the other side if they triumph over a weakened and shattered Germany?

3. Quit India Movement: 'The Fight To The Finish'

The "Quit India" Goal was supported by the All India Congress Advisory Group, which convened on August 8, 1942, in Bombay for its first meeting. The advisory panel recognised that it would not be appropriate at this time to prevent the nation from asserting its will against a radical and authoritarian regime that reigned over it, prevented it from acting in its best interests, and in light of a justifiable concern for humanity. Congress shared the opinion of the whole nation that India's fundamental right to opportunity and freedom was important. It was determined that the projected mass combat would be organised peacefully and on the widest possible scale since it was the most successful strategy over the previous 22 years of peaceful conflict. Gandhi was undoubtedly selected to lead such a movement. Gandhi's passionate speech, which lasted for a record-breaking 144 minutes after the 'Quit India' aim was abandoned, reflected the spirit of the campaign. The swift removal of English rule from India was the desired objective. A Temporary Government would be formed upon the declaration of India's autonomy, and Free India would become a partner of the United Nations. Gandhi nevertheless said the following of the movement's concept:

I need opportunity immediately, this very night, before dawn, if it tends to be had...the Congress should win opportunity or be cleared out of the work; and fail to remember not that the opportunity which the Congress is attempting to accomplish won't be for the Senators alone

but for each of the forty crores of Indian people...each of you ought to think of yourself as a freeman or woman from this moment on and act as though you are fr I am not only promoting something fake. It is the opportunity's true core. The moment a slave perceives himself as a free person, his commitment is broken.

Congress demanded that the English leave India immediately and completely via the Quit India trademark. People in India could not accept anything less than total autonomy, and Indian opportunity could not exist within the realm of government, territory, or any other status. This is a position that Congress has repeatedly won. India was also expected to not just seize her moment but also avoid becoming a battleground between England and Japan.

Congress demanded that the English leave India immediately and completely via the Quit India trademark. People in India could not accept anything less than total autonomy, and Indian opportunity could not exist within the realm of government, territory, or any other status. This is a position that Congress has repeatedly won. It was also anticipated that India will not only seize her moment but also avoid becoming a battleground between England and Japan. As a result, the Quit India Movement's main justifications were India's chance and avoiding the war. According to the Public Authority study, Gandhi's true goal was to free India from English rule and prevent her from becoming a historical landmark, with a possible small emphasis on the latter. Gandhi's work at this time drew the attention of antiquarians since he accepted brutality as part of his own temporary norms of surrender. Gandhian recorders disregarded the events throughout the war years in amiable silence. But in reality, the reasons for thinking that this emergency was Gandhi's toughest test were his personal ejection from the scene and his restrained calm resignation to brutality. Gandhi's approach was undoubtedly most effective when all the odds seemed to be stacked against his chances of victory. Although it is likely that the realisation of opportunity served as the main impetus for the Quit India Movement, related points of view also accelerated the movement's launch. Gandhi was undoubtedly always prepared to take advantage of events that may help India advance on the global stage. He cared equally about achieving real results and stirring people's spirits to the point where the enormous weight of their interest would become convincing. His passionate, virtually wretched opposition to the moral and psychological decay that, in his opinion, India's relatives had fallen into was personally related. Gandhi's immediate and growing concerns were the sufferings of

people due to the Conflict and the possibility of an application of the "burned earth technique" in India.

4. Conclusion

Along with being a socially and culturally wealthy Bhojpuri region, the Eastern U.P., which includes Benares, Ghazipur, Ballia, and Azamgarh, was also politically tense. People from this region were in the forefront of the patriot movement during the public movement, particularly in the Gandhian era (R.C. Majumdar, Opportunity Battle in India, Vol. Sick). They actively participated in the Common Defiance Movement (1930–1933) and the Non-Collaboration Movement (1920–22). As a result of people's concerns against the pilgrim government, during the Quit India Movement, the English government was resisted, and in the Ballia area, a patriot government was even formed under the leadership of a local Congress pioneer named Chittu Pandey. This investigation disproves the assertions of certain history students that the Quit India movement was an unrestrained movement or that it was bombed disobedience. Concerns about "who composed the majority that deadened the operation of government in Eastern U.P." are raised in the present assessment. Who motivated or had an impact on them? Why did the majority decide to join the movement? We refer to a movement as a mass movement when people from many social strata participate in it. When a movement transmits the creative virtuosity and energy of people, it attracts a mass of people. The labourer, experts, understudy, and workers were the well-known members of the sans-culottes in the French uprising. Due to the Eastern U.P., I have made an effort to identify and classify the majority according to location, class, station, and orientation. The socioeconomic basis of the Congress grew in the 1930s, and it gained ground in relation to preparation, adolescence, women, the urban petty bourgeoisie, the urban and rural poor, urban and rural craftsmen, a sizable portion of the working class, and small property managers. Gandhiji's and Congress' valuable contributions played a crucial role. There are many different types of mass movement.

References

1. Abbas, K.A. and Jog, N.G., A Report to Gandhi, Hind Kitabs, Bombay, 1944 Bagchi, Amiyo Kumar, Bharoter Adhunik Silpe Biniog O Utpadan (in Bengali), K.P. Bagchi and Co., Kolkata, 1997

2. Bahl, Vinay, The Making of the Indian Working Class: The Case of the Tata Iron and Steel Co., 1880-1946, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 1995

3. Bairathi, Shashi, Communalism and Nationalism in India: A Study in Inter-relationship, 1919-1947, Anamika Prakashan, Noida, 1987

4. Bakshi, S.R. (ed.), Advanced History of Modern India, vol. 5, 1929-1947, Anmol Publications Pvt Ltd, New Delhi, 1995

5. Bandyopadhyay, Gitasree, Constraints in Bengal Politics, 1921-41, Sarat Book House, Calcutta, 1984 Bandyopadhyay, Sandip, Agnijuger Banglay Biplabi Manosh (in Bengali), Progressive Publishers, Calcutta, 1993 Bandyopadhyay, Sekhar, Caste, Politics and the Raj: Bengal, 1872-1937,

6. K.P. Bagchi and Co., Calcutta, 1990 -----, Caste, Protest and Identity in Colonial India, OUP, New Dehi, 2011 -----, From Plassey to Partition-A History of Modern India, Orient Blackswan Private Limited, New Delhi, 2011 Banerjee,

7. Tarun Kumar and Roychowdhury, Debesh (eds.), Colonial India- Ideas and Movements, Progressive publishers, Calcutta, 2001

8. Baske, Dhirendranath, Bialliser August Andolone Saontal Jonogosthi (in Bengali), Subarnarekha, Kolkata, 2003

9. Basu, Kanailal, Netaji: Rediscovered, Author House, Bloomington, 2010 Basu, Nirban, The Working Class Movement- A Study of Jute Mills of Bengal 1937-47, K.P. Bagchi and Co., Calcutta, 1994

10. Basu, Nirmal Kumar, Biallisher Bangla (in Bengali), Karigar, Kolkata, 2012 Bayly, C.A., Origins of Nationality in South Asia: patriotism and Ethical Government in the Making of Modern India, OUP, Delhi, 1998

11. Bhadra, Gautam and Chattopadhyay, Partha (eds.), Nimnoborger Itihas (in Bengali), Ananda Publishers, Kolkata, 1998

12. Bhattacharya, Sabyasachi, Ouponibeshik Bharoter Arthaniti 1850-1947 (in Bengali), Ananda Publishers, Calcutta, 1396

13. BS. Bhuyan, Arun Chandra, The Quit India Movement—The Second World War and Indian Nationalism, Lawyer's Book Stall, Guwahati, 1975

14. Bose, Sisir Kumar (ed.), Crossroads: The Works of Subhas Chandra Bose, 1938-40, Calcutta, 1981

15. Bose, Sugata and Jalal, Ayesha (eds.), Nationalism, Democracy and Development— State and Politics in India, OUP, New Delhi, 1997

16. Bose, Sugata, Agrarian Bengal: Economy, Social Structure and Politics, 1919-1947, CUP, Cambridge, 1986

17. Bose, Sugata, His Majesty's Opponent, Harvard University Press, 2011 Brown, Judith M., Gandhi's Rise to Power: Indian Politics, 1915-1922, CUP, Cambridge, 1972

18. Chandavarkar, Rajnarayan, Imperial Power and Popular Politics: Class Resistance and the State in India, 1850-1950, CUP, Cambridge, 1998

19. Chandra, Bipan, The Rise and Growth of Economic Nationalism in India, People's Publishing House, New Delhi, 1966

20. Chattopadhyay, Gautam (ed.), Bharot Charo Andolon: Biplabider Mukhomukhi (in Bengali), Paschimbanga Bangla Academy, Kolkata, 2002

Author's Declaration

shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

Kaushlendra Kumar Kaushal

******