



## IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLE, EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT, AND WORK ENVIRONMENT ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN MANUFACTURING COMPANIES

Umesha  
Research Scholar  
Dr. Rajinder Singh  
Professor

Commerce, School of Commerce, SunRise University, Alwar

**DECLARATION:** I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT /OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE /UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION.FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

### Abstract

*Because they are the ones who decide whether an organization succeeds or fails, employees are a company's most valuable asset. Companies that understand how important their workers are have developed a number of plans and guidelines to guarantee that they are taken care of and that they are motivated to work. In addition to this factor, leadership style is anticipated to have a big impact on how employees feel. A questionnaire completed by staff members of a firm that manufactures animal feed is used for data retrieval. The 50 employees of the organization are all used in the data retrieval process. Partial least square (PLS) is the technique utilized for information examination in this review. The review's decision is that a pioneer's style fundamentally influences the workplace and representative commitment. The workplace and representative commitment affect how well individuals perform. The review's discoveries likewise show that an initiative style can't straightforwardly affect representative execution since it is a component of top administration's relationship with staff; consequently, a middle person component is expected to raise staff execution.*

**Keywords:** LeadershipStyle, Employee Engagement, Work Environment, Employee Performance, Manufacturing Companies, Organizational Success, Partial Least Square (Pls), Organizational Behavior.



## **1. INRODUCTION**

Associations are focusing on human resources or ability the board to guarantee the right ability is chosen and enlisted. Systems have been created to improve representative turn of events and efficiency, with fair compensation and advancement being carried out. In any case, these procedures may not be adequate to keep workers locked in. A few specialists dread that representative commitment might turn into a 'HR prevailing fashion', with task discontinuity from forceful re-appropriating systems making useful storehouses. Clear commitment might subvert the advantages of negative reasoning, prompting less trepidation and a stop in workers' soul of splitting away from business as usual. To resolve these issues, making a feeling of direction and importance in workers' positions is fundamental. Initiative style is proposed as the determinant of representative commitment, as pioneers are confided in specialists by the association to build up and impart a feeling of direction and significance among their devotees.

### **1.1. Employee engagement**

Representative commitment is a vital part of an association's prosperity, including profound connection, enthusiasm, and responsibility. It goes past only fulfillment with business related factors and includes both demeanor and social parts. Macey and Schneider (2008) characterized worker commitment as a helpful condition that incorporates a hierarchical reason, contribution, responsibility, energy, excitement, centered exertion, and energy. While work commitment centers around representatives' good sentiments towards their work, representative commitment manages workers' good sentiments towards the association. While the two terms are frequently utilized reciprocally, commitment is particular from different builds like hierarchical responsibility, citizenship conduct, and occupation contribution. Administration style is supposed to be a critical figure cultivating representative commitment.

### **1.2. Leadership style**

There is no generally acknowledged definition or hypothesis of authority, yet groundbreaking initiative is a well-known style in current writing. Groundbreaking pioneers motivate and move



subordinates to accomplish objectives or advantages for the association, while conditional authority centers around exchanges among pioneer and adherent. Be that as it may, groundbreaking authority has limits in tending to political, social, and monetary issues inside the authoritative setting. Errand and relationship-focused administration speculations were right off the bat in forming authority styles, however Ekvall and Arvonen (1991) presented change-focused authority as an additional worth in research. They contend that the two-layered model of authority (task versus relationship) may not be adequate for firms to be serious in a quickly unique climate. Initiative styles are sorted into three aspects: worker direction, creation direction, and change direction, rearranged as the CPE model.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

**Goestjahjanti, S. F., et.al., (2020)** meant to evaluate the effect of legitimate administration and ability the executives on work fulfillment in the South East Asian car industry. Information was gathered from 391 workers utilizing basic irregular inspecting and SEM strategies. Results showed that ability the board altogether further developed work fulfillment through representative commitment, while valid initiative didn't. The exploration proposed a model to improve work fulfillment in the car business by upgrading ability the executives and zeroing in on representative commitment as a middle person. This could assist with further developing representative availability for the 4.0 time of the modern upset.

**Gemeda, H. K., & Lee, J. (2020)** dissected the connection between administration styles, work commitment, task execution, and creative work conduct among IT experts in Ethiopia and South Korea. The outcomes showed that groundbreaking initiative style decidedly affected work commitment and inventive way of behaving, while conditional authority style emphatically influenced task execution. Nonetheless, free enterprise authority style harmed task execution. Work commitment decidedly influenced work results pointers, and work commitment partially intervened the connection between initiative styles and work results. These affiliations were predictable across both public examples, showing the legitimacy of the suspicions. The discoveries offer bits of knowledge into how administration styles relate with representatives' work results.



**Purwanto, A. (2020)** explored the effect of information the board and workplace on worker execution in the bundling business in Tangerang, interceded by work fulfillment. Information was gathered from 351 representatives utilizing basic arbitrary testing and approved polls. The investigation discovered that groundbreaking initiative and information the executives didn't essentially impact worker execution, both straightforwardly and in a roundabout way through work fulfillment intervention. Be that as it may, workplace altogether affected execution, both straightforwardly and in a roundabout way through work fulfillment intercession. The exploration proposes a model for further developing representative execution in the bundling business by upgrading a positive workplace, carrying out groundbreaking administration practices, and involving position fulfillment as a go between.

**Hayati, N. (2020)** analyzed the effect of visionary initiative, ability the executives, representative commitment, worker inspiration, work fulfillment, and worker execution at Bank BJB Administrative center. The exploration utilizes a study technique with an example of 327 representatives, utilizing the Primary Condition Model (SEM). The discoveries demonstrate that visionary initiative has a sufficient to great impact on work fulfillment, while ability the executives has an adequate to great impact on work fulfillment. Representative commitment has a sufficient to great impact on work fulfillment, and work inspiration has a sufficient to great impact on work fulfillment. Work fulfillment is in the very great to great classification, and representative execution is in the very great to great class. The review reasons that visionary initiative, ability the board, representative commitment, and inspiration all the while impact work fulfillment, and that work fulfillment essentially affects representative execution.

**Arifin, Z., et.al., (2019)** explored the effect of representative commitment on work fulfillment and execution in mining organizations in Kalimantan. An overview of 138 labourers uncovered that representative commitment completely intercedes the connection between work fulfillment and execution. The board ought to energize worker commitment, focus on representative circumstances, specifically select representatives, and give preparing to increment commitment.



This examination is quick to investigate how worker commitment can intervene the connection between work fulfillment and execution.

### **3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Quantitative exploration is a strategy in light of positivism that looks at explicit populaces or tests, with examining procedures led haphazardly. The review intends to test the impact of conditional initiative on representative execution through worker commitment and workplace utilizing measurable analysis. Information assortment and analysis are led utilizing measurements and figures to decide the impact of autonomous factors, for example, conditional authority, on subordinate factors, like representative execution. The populace is a speculation region comprising of items or subjects with specific qualities. The example in this study is workers with up to 50 individuals, and all representatives are given a survey with the endorsement of the organization authority. The information analysis procedure utilized is the Partial Least Square (PLS), a fluctuation based structural equation analysis (SEM) that can perform structural model testing and is an option in contrast to SEM. The assessment of the PLS model includes assessing the external and internal models, characterizing how every marker block connects with its inert variable and depicting the connection between dormant factors in light of considerable hypothesis.

### **4. DATA ANALYSIS**

The review uncovers that organizations focus on orientation uniformity in the workplace, with an almost equivalent number of male and female respondents. The length of representatives' visit in the organization is likewise reflected, with a larger part working for 1 to 3 years, trailed by 4 to 6 years, 7 to 9 years, and 1 to 9 years.

The analysis uncovers that a few things have their stacking factor weighting values beneath 0.4, making the cycle invalid. To address this, a few things should be eliminated. These remember things for the authority style variable, for example, discipline for resistance, intercession just when issues emerge, and representative commitment factors, for example, believing partners, support



for the work cycle, adaptable working hours, fair standards, and willful consistence with guidelines.

In the workplace variable, things like errand fruition, corporate culture execution, office accessibility, data framework fulfillment, and solace in the workplace are additionally taken out. The subsequent stage handling is then completed to yield all markers that meet the necessities of above 0.5.

All in all, organizations focus on orientation uniformity in the workplace, with a larger part of representatives working for a brief period.

**Table 1:** Value of cross-loading for each measured item

Variable	Item	Measurement	
<b>Employee Engagement (EE)</b>	EE 01 You are inspired by the leader.	0.825	
	EE 02 The boss has good communication skills.	0.704	
	EE 03 The boss offers support.	0.7	
	EE 06 You get along well with other employees.	0.515	
	EE 07 The organization offers you chances to advance your career.	0.617	
	EE 08 The pay makes you happy.	0.700	
	EE 09 Those that do well are rewarded by the organization.	0.675	
	EE 13 The business offers flexible work schedules.	0.748	
	EE 14 You receive decent benefits.	0.640	
	<b>Employee Performance (EP)</b>	EP 01 The organization assigns goals to its staff.	0.560
		EP 02 The staff members are able to meet the goals.	0.598
		EP 03 Employees that are responsible for the tasks can do them.	0.725



	EP 04 The workers are able to do their work precisely.	0.791
	EP 05 The workers give up high-caliber positions.	0.705
	EP 06 The workers double-check their assignments.	0.80
	EP 07 Employees make ensuring that tasks are completed in accordance with standards.	0.707
	EP 12 The staff are able to collaborate with one another.	0.528
	EP 13 The staff members are competent communicators	0.64
<b>Leadership Style (LS)</b>	LS 01 The boss gives the followers props.	0.736
	LS 05 The superior sets an example for the followers	0.594
	LS 06 The boss motivates	0.892
	LS 07 The boss inspires	0.853
	LS 08 The boss encourages employees to be motivated at work.	0.787
	LS 09 The manager attends to the requirements of the staff.	0.861
	LS 10 The manager values every effort made by staff members.	0.770
<b>Work Environment (WE)</b>	WE 01 The problems of the work include meeting the employees' needs.	0.660
	WE 03 The manager encourages employees to work	0.718
	WE 04 The manager leads by example.	0.715
	WE 05 The manager is pleased with his abilities.	0.648
	WE 06 The manager has good interpersonal communication skills.	0.653



	WE 07 The manager is devoted to the other employees.	0.81
--	--	------

The study calculates composite reliability, indicating the degree of common latent, to determine the reliability of a variable. The composite reliability value of each variable is greater than 0.6. The results show leadership style has a composite reliability value of 0.919, employee engagement has a value of 0.893, the work environment has a value of 0.854, and employee performance has a value of 0.884.

**Table 2:** Inner Weight's Effect on PLS Output

Initial Example	Calculate	Average of the Subsamples	The standard deviation	T-Data
LS -> EE	0.760	0.756	0.06	10.834
WE -> EE	0.17	0.188	0.082	2.157
LS -> WE	0.707	0.720	0.064	10.916
LS -> EP	-0.208	-0.254	0.24	1.235
EE -> EP	0.631	0.601	0.275	2.293
WE -> EP	0.292	0.278	0.142	2.04

The review found a positive connection between initiative style and worker commitment, with a gamma coefficient of 0.760 and a t-measurement of 10.834, higher than 1.95. The workplace likewise showed a positive impact, with a gamma coefficient of 0.779 and a t-measurement of 10.916, higher than 1.95. Worker commitment on execution was likewise decidedly impacted, with a gamma coefficient of 0.631 and a t-measurement of 2.228, higher than 1.95. The workplace likewise emphatically impacted representative execution, with a gamma coefficient of 0.292 and a t-measurement of 2.049, higher than 1.95. In any case, the administration style had a gamma coefficient of - 0.208 and a t-measurement of 1.235, lower than 1.64, showing that there is no huge impact between administration style and worker execution. This is on the grounds that the pioneer gives motivation and focuses on representatives' requirements, however they can't guarantee that workers can play out their positions suitably.



## 5. CONCLUSION

It is clear from the data and debate that employee engagement is influenced by leadership styles. Employee engagement in the organization will rise even more as a result of the right leadership style being used. The work atmosphere for employees can be enhanced by a leader's style. A leader's style has the power to shape and create a productive workplace for staff members. Employee engagement may be raised by improving the work environment. Thus, a more favorable and upbeat work atmosphere fosters a stronger sense of loyalty among employees toward the organization. Employee performance is impacted by the work environment and employee engagement. Therefore, worker productivity and performance are positively correlated with a company's better feeling of employee engagement and more favorable work environment. Employee performance is not directly impacted by a leader's style. As a result, while leadership cannot directly affect employee performance, it may still enhance it through other elements like employee engagement and the workplace.

## REFERENCES

1. Al-dalahmeh, M., Khalaf, R., & Obeidat, B. (2018). *The effect of employee engagement on organizational performance via the mediating role of job satisfaction: The case of IT employees in Jordanian banking sector. Modern Applied Science, 12(6), 17-43.*
2. Ali, M., Lodhi, S. A., Raza, B., & Ali, W. (2018). *Examining the impact of managerial coaching on employee job performance: Mediating role of work engagement, leader-member-exchange quality, job satisfaction, and turnover intentions. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS), 12(1), 253-282.*
3. Arifin, Z., Nirwanto, N., & Manan, A. (2019). *Improving the effect of work satisfaction on job performance through employee engagement. International Journal of Multi-Discipline Science (IJ-MDS), 2(1), 1-9.*



4. Gameda, H. K., & Lee, J. (2020). *Leadership styles, work engagement and outcomes among information and communications technology professionals: A cross-national study. Heliyon, 6(4).*
5. Goestjahjanti, S. F., Novitasari, D., Hutagalung, D., Asbari, M., & Supono, J. (2020). *Impact of talent management, authentic leadership and employee engagement on job satisfaction: Evidence from south east asian industries. Journal of Critical Reviews, 7(19), 67-88.*
6. Hayati, N. (2020). *The influence of visionary leadership, talent management, employee engagement, and employee motivation to job satisfaction and its implications for employee performance all divisions of bank bjb head office. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 9(3), 120-135.*
7. Huang, Y., Ma, Z., & Meng, Y. (2018). *High-performance work systems and employee engagement: empirical evidence from China. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 56(3), 341-359.*
8. Ismail, H. N., Iqbal, A., & Nasr, L. (2019). *Employee engagement and job performance in Lebanon: the mediating role of creativity. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 68(3), 506-523.*
9. Lee, M. C. C., Idris, M. A., & Tuckey, M. (2019). *Supervisory coaching and performance feedback as mediators of the relationships between leadership styles, work engagement, and turnover intention. Human Resource Development International, 22(3), 257-282.*
10. Li, P., Sun, J. M., Taris, T. W., Xing, L., & Peeters, M. C. (2021). *Country differences in the relationship between leadership and employee engagement: A meta-analysis. The Leadership Quarterly, 32(1), 101458.*
11. López-Cabarcos, M. Á., Vázquez-Rodríguez, P., & Quiñóá-Piñeiro, L. M. (2022). *An approach to employees' job performance through work environmental variables and leadership behaviours. Journal of Business Research, 140, 361-369.*
12. Moslehpour, M., Altantsetseg, P., Mou, W., & Wong, W. K. (2018). *Organizational climate and work style: The missing links for sustainability of leadership and satisfied employees. Sustainability, 11(1), 125.*



13. Oubrich, M., Hakmaoui, A., Benhayoun, L., Söilen, K. S., & Abdulkader, B. (2021). *Impacts of leadership style, organizational design and HRM practices on knowledge hiding: The indirect roles of organizational justice and competitive work environment. Journal of Business Research, 137, 488-499.*
14. Purwanto, A. (2020). *The role of job satisfaction in the relationship between transformational leadership, knowledge management, work environment and performance. Solid State Technology.*
15. Tortorella, G., Miorando, R., Caiado, R., Nascimento, D., & Portioli Staudacher, A. (2021). *The mediating effect of employees' involvement on the relationship between Industry 4.0 and operational performance improvement. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 32(1-2), 119-133.*

## Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website /amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification /Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission/Submission /Copyright /Patent /Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper maybe rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds Any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper maybe removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality maybe mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

**Umesha**

**Dr. Rajinder Singh**

\*\*\*\*\*