Airo International Journal
Peer-Reviewed
Multidisciplinary

ISSN: 2320-3714
Volume: 1 Issue: 1
January 2026
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject: Mathematics

OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR MULTI-OBJECTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS WITH REAL-WORLD APPLICATIONS

Sajendra Kumar Sirohi
Research scholar
Sikkim Skill University
Dr. Ajay Kumar Mishra
Professor
Sikkim Skill University

ABSTRACT

Transportation problems are fundamental to operations research and supply chain management, traditionally emphasizing the minimization of transportation cost under deterministic supply and demand constraints. But, modern transportation and logistics systems are working in very complicated conditions where decision-making processes should consider several, and often conflicting goals at the same time including cost-effectiveness, delivery time, environmental sustainability, risk reduction, and reliability of services. This paper investigates optimization techniques for multi-objective transportation problems (MOTPs) with a strong focus on real-world applicability. A comprehensive overview of classical scalarization approaches, including the weighted sum, ε-constraint, goal programming, and lexicographic optimization methods, is presented alongside fuzzy multi-objective techniques for handling uncertainty and imprecision. In addition, advanced metaheuristic and evolutionary algorithms such as NSGA-II, MOEA/D, and MOPSO are discussed for their effectiveness in solving large-scale and complex transportation networks. The study further proposes a structured methodological framework encompassing model formulation, illustrative analysis, Pareto-optimal solution evaluation, and decision-making support using multi-criteria decision-making tools. Through conceptual analysis and illustrative frequency–percentage representations, the paper highlights prevailing trends in objective prioritization and optimization method selection, offering practical insights for researchers, planners, and policymakers engaged in modern transportation system design.

Keywords: *Transportation Problem, Multi-Objective Optimization, Pareto Optimality, Goal Programming, E-Constraint, NSGA-II, TOPSIS, Sustainability, Logistics, Supply Chain.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation decisions are important to the structure of the efficiency, responsiveness, and sustainability of the contemporary supply chains in that it establishes the movement of goods, services, and resources between many sources and many destinations to meet capacity, demand, and operational limits. Conventionally, transportation planning has been conceptualised using the classical transportation problem which aims at achieving an optimal allocation that reduces one objective, which is in most instances, the total transportation or shipping cost. Although these single-objective models are elegant mathematically and computationally, in most cases, they are unable to reflect the complicated realities of modern transport systems.

The contemporary world of supply chains is under pressure of various stakeholders who have various and even conflicting demands, such as customers, regulators, and policymakers. The organizations will not just aim at lowering transportation expenses, but also enhance speed and reliability in delivery processes, decrease carbon emissions and energy usage, control risks linked to disruption and uncertainty, as well as, equitable and resilient allocation of resources. Increased focus on sustainability, corporate social responsibility, and service excellence has continued to heighten the necessity of the decision-making structures that can clearly consider these conflicting objectives.

Multi-objective transportation problems (MOTPs) are variations of the traditional transportation models, which consider two or more goals at once, but with the same structural restrictions of supply, demand, and feasibility. Contrary to single-objective models, which produce one optimal solution, MOTPs are usually used to produce a set of Pareto-optimal solutions. Every solution in this set corresponds to a trade-off between objectives so that no objective can be made better without making at least one other objective worse. This Pareto approach gives decision-makers good information about the trade-offs inherent in transportation planning and allows them to choose solutions that reflect best on strategic priorities, policy objectives, or stakeholder preferences. As transportation systems increasingly become integrated with the protocols of public policy, sustainability undertakings and competitive force, multi-objective transportation models have become indispensable instruments to the design and operation of efficient, resilient and sustainable distribution systems in the contemporary times.

1.1. Background of the Study

Transportation systems constitute a vital component of economic development and societal functioning, enabling the efficient movement of goods and services across regions. With globalization, rapid urbanization, and the expansion of supply chain networks, transportation decision-making has become increasingly complex. Organizations and policymakers are no longer concerned solely with minimizing transportation cost; instead, they must also address issues related to delivery speed, environmental sustainability, risk exposure, energy consumption, and service quality. These growing demands have exposed the limitations of traditional single-objective transportation models in capturing real-world operational realities.

In recent years, heightened awareness of climate change, stricter environmental regulations, and rising customer expectations have further transformed transportation planning into a multi-dimensional decision problem. The need to balance economic efficiency with environmental and social responsibilities has led to the adoption of multi-objective optimization approaches. Such approaches provide a structured framework for analyzing trade-offs among competing objectives and for supporting informed decision-making in complex transportation systems. Against this background, the study of optimization techniques for multi-objective transportation problems has gained significant academic and practical importance, motivating the present research to explore suitable models, solution techniques, and real-world applications in a comprehensive manner.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Garg and Rizk-Allah (2021) presented a new methodological approach to the solution of rough multi-objective transportation problems, by including rough set theory to represent uncertainty of transportation parameters. Their research dealt with cases in which the accurate information about costs, supplies and demands was not easily available as is the case in the logistics scenario in real world. The authors combined both rough mathematical structures and multi-objective optimization to propose a solution strategy that can resolve the ambiguity without reference to probabilistic assumptions. The findings proved that the suggested technique was efficient in providing the stable and consistent Pareto-optimal resolutions under unpredictable circumstances. The paper also highlighted the relevance of the approach to real world systems of transportation and supply chains, especially in decision making situations where incomplete, inaccurate or volatile information exists.

Kaur et al. (2015) solved a practical multi-objective and multi-index transportation problem in which uncertainty and imprecision in the decision parameters were modelled with various fuzzy membership functions. Some of the objectives they studied included cost of transportation and the delivery time where they realised that these parameters are not always easily measured in real life contexts. Using and comparing the different fuzzy membership functions, the authors demonstrated the effect of different forms of fuzziness representations in determining the final solutions and decision preferences. The results indicated that membership functions were delicate to the solutions and so the membership functions had to be carefully selected in terms of the priorities of those making decisions. The paper supported the significance of fuzzy optimization methods as useful solutions to the complex transportation problems in which classical deterministic models might prove insufficient.

Tanabe and Ishibuchi (2020) implemented a simple multi-objective optimization problem suite of real-world problems aimed at benchmarking and testing the efficiency of multi-objective optimization algorithms. Though they did not particularly study the issue of transportation, their work still presented some valuable understanding of how optimization algorithms behave in real situations. The suggested problem suite reflected the characteristics of a nonlinear relationship between objectives, the complexity of interactions between constraints, as well as the conflicting performance requirements, which are typical of transportation and logistics systems. The authors proved the reliability of the evaluation of the algorithm performance in terms of such realistic test problems was better than that of the traditional synthetic benchmarks. Their input helped the development of evolutionary and metaheuristic optimization techniques that are adaptable enough to be utilized in multi-objective transportation and supply chain processes.

Abdelati et al. (2023) Carried out an extensive comparative analysis of the solution of a multi-objective solid transportation problem through the application of various decision-making and optimization methods. They tested alternative solution strategies in their research to measure the effectiveness with which they met conflicting objectives in solid transportation models. The comparative analysis showed that varying decision-making methods created differences in ranking solutions and ultimate selection, although they are being based on the same Pareto-optimal set. This observation underscored the importance of multi-criteria decision-making tools in converting optimization outputs into decisions. The authors inferred that to obtain strong, realistic and practically feasible solutions to the complex multi-objective transportation problems, it is necessary to integrate optimization models with appropriate decision-support methods.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The analytical basis of logistics and supply chain optimization is transportation issues, which deal with the systematic distribution of the products in various sources to various places and meet supply and demand needs. These issues are a classical model in operations research, where well-known mathematical formulations are assumed and the objectives are known. These formulations allow the decision-makers to come up with the best shipping plans to guarantee efficiency and feasibility in the limited transportation networks.

The simplest and most common type of this type of problems is known as classical transportation problem. It is concerned with reduction of one objective which is generally the total transportation cost in the deterministic conditions. This model presupposes that supplies, demands and costs of transportation of units are certain and unchanged during the planning horizon. Though computationally efficient and analytically tractable, this method usually simplifies the logistics scenario in the real world as it ignores other key performance indicators like time, environmental impact, risk, and service quality.

In an effort to eliminate these shortcomings, the multi-objective transportation problem (MOTP) has been put forward. In MOTPs, two or more competing goals are minimized at the same time, which is indicative of the complex decision environment that present-day transportation systems have to contend with. Multi-objective formulations do not provide a single optimal solution; instead, a Pareto-optimal solution set is obtained, which depicts the trade-offs between conflicting objectives. This framework gives it more flexibility and reality, whereby decision-makers can make trade-offs between economic efficiency and sustainability, reliability and responsiveness.

3.1. Classical Transportation Problem (Single Objective)

Consider a transportation network with $m$sources and $n$destinations.

Let:

- $a_i$be the supply available at source i
- $b_j$be the demand at destination j
- x_{ij}be the quantity shipped from source $i$to destination j
- c_{ij}be the unit transportation cost from $i$to j

The classical transportation problem is formulated as:

Minimize

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} \, x_{ij}$$

Subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} = a_i, i = 1,2, \dots , m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} = b_j, j = 1,2, \dots , n$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

This formulation assumes deterministic parameters and a single optimization objective.

3.2. Multi-Objective Transportation Problem (MOTP)

In a multi-objective transportation problem, the objective function becomes a vector of competing goals:

$$\min\ \mathbf{Z}(x) = (Z_1(x), Z_2(x), \dots, Z_k(x))$$

Common objectives include:

- Z_1: Minimization of transportation cost
- Z_2: Minimization of total travel time or delay
- Z_3: Minimization of carbon emissions or fuel consumption
- Z_4: Minimization of transportation risk or disruption exposure
- Z_5: Minimization of service-level penalties or unreliability

For example:

$$\min\ Z_1 = \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij}\, x_{ij}$$

$$\min\ Z_2 = \sum_i \sum_j t_{ij}\, x_{ij}$$

$$\min\ Z_3 = \sum_i \sum_j e_{ij}\, x_{ij}$$

Subject to the same feasibility constraints as the classical model.

3.3. Practical Variants

Real-world transportation problems often involve additional complexities, such as:

- Capacitated routes ($x_{ij} \leq u_{ij}$)
- Fixed-charge transportation costs
- Multi-modal transportation options (road, rail, sea, air)
- Delivery time windows
- Integer or binary decision variables

- Uncertainty in demand, travel time, cost, or network availability

4. OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR MULTI-OBJECTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS

The transportation problems that involve multiple objectives involve the use of unique methods of optimization in order to suit the conflicting goals. Such issues can be simplified by scalarization techniques, which reformulate a collection of goals into one goal using a variety of strategies, such as the weighted sum, epsilon-constraint, goal programming, and lexicographic optimization, each of which is applicable in particular decision scenarios. Fuzzy multi-objective optimization expands these approaches by allowing uncertainty and inexactness using membership functions, which is why they are especially applicable when the application is in the public sector and humanitarian settings. In large and complex transportation systems where accuracy is not feasible to use, metaheuristic and evolutionary algorithms, which include NSGA-II, MOEA/D, MOPSO and ant colony optimization, offer an effective way of obtaining manifold and high-quality Pareto-optimal solutions. All these techniques provide versatile and powerful resources in the solution of real-world multi-objective transportation issues.

4.1. Scalarization Methods

Scalarization transforms a multi-objective problem into single-objective problem.

Weighted Sum Method:

$$\min \sum_{r=1}^{k} w_r Z_r(x)$$

This approach is simple but may fail to identify non-convex Pareto solutions.

- **ε-Constraint Method:** One objective is optimized while others are bounded by predefined thresholds. This method can generate diverse Pareto-optimal solutions.
- **Goal Programming:** Objectives are converted into goals, and deviations from target values are minimized. This approach is especially useful for managerial decision-making.

- **Lexicographic Optimization:** Objectives are optimized sequentially based on strict priority levels.

4.2. Fuzzy Multi-Objective Optimization

Fuzzy multi-objective optimization techniques are designed to handle vagueness and imprecision inherent in real-world transportation problems. In many practical situations, objectives and constraints cannot be expressed in precise numerical terms and are instead described linguistically, such as “low transportation cost,” “acceptable delivery time,” or “high service reliability.” Fuzzy approaches address this issue by defining membership functions that measure the degree of satisfaction associated with each objective or constraint.

These satisfaction levels are then aggregated to identify solutions that provide an acceptable balance among competing objectives. Fuzzy optimization is particularly suitable for public sector planning, humanitarian logistics, and policy-driven transportation systems, where flexibility and subjective judgment play a significant role in decision-making.

4.3. Metaheuristic and Evolutionary Methods

More importance has been placed on the roles played by metaheuristic and evolutionary approaches in the resolution of a large scale and complex multi-objective transportation problems whose exact optimization methods are computationally infeasible. These approaches can search large search spaces in an efficient way and produce a large set of near-optimal solutions using a reasonable amount of computational time. Multi-objective Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II), Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithms based on Decomposition (MOEA/D), Multi-objective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO) and multi-objective ant colony optimization algorithms are commonly used when there are nonlinear relationships, discrete decision variables and multiple constraints in the transportation network. The fact that they can generate good Pareto fronts that are distributed means that they are especially useful when considering trade-offs between cost, time, environmental impact and service quality as an aid to decision-makers.

5. MATHEMATICAL REPRESENTATION OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS

The objectives in a multi-objective transportation problem are mathematically represented using quantitative performance measures associated with transportation activities. Let

x_{ij} denote the quantity of goods transported from source i to destination j. Based on different decision criteria, the commonly used objective functions are expressed as follows.

The transportation cost minimization objective is formulated as:

$$\min\ Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}\ x_{ij}$$

where c_{ij} represents the unit transportation cost from source i to destination j.

The transportation time or delay minimization objective is given by:

$$\min\ Z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{ij}\ x_{ij}$$

where t_{ij} denotes the travel time or delay associated with transporting goods along route (i, j).

The environmental impact or emission minimization objective can be expressed as:

$$\min\ Z_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{ij}\ x_{ij}$$

where e_{ij} indicates the emission factor or fuel consumption per unit transported on route (i, j).

In addition to these objectives, transportation risk and service reliability objectives may also be incorporated depending on the application context. All objective functions are optimized simultaneously subject to supply constraints, demand constraints, and non-negativity conditions. This mathematical representation forms the foundation for applying scalarization, fuzzy optimization, and metaheuristic techniques in solving multi-objective transportation problems.

6. CONCLUSION

This study is a review of optimization techniques in multi objective transportation, their theoretical basis and their practical applicability in current transport and logistics systems. It emphasizes the fact that multi-objective models have a more realistic approach compared to the single-objective models since they can address all the economic, temporal, environmental, and service-related objectives. It is pointed out in the study that transportation planning is inevitably associated with trade-offs and that therefore (Pareto-optimal) solutions are necessary in order to make informed decisions. It comes to the conclusion that not a single type of optimization can be always the best: scalarization approach is appropriate when the problem is clear and has priorities, fuzzy approach is appropriate when the problem includes uncertainty, subjectivity, and metaheuristic approach, like NSGA-II, MOEA/D, or MOPSO, is appropriate when the problem is only big and complex. In general, the paper illustrates that multi-objective optimization has a vital role to play in ensuring the realization of balanced, sustainable and resilient transportation systems that are in tandem with the current economic, environmental and social goals.

REFERENCES

1. Abdelati, M. H., Abd-El-Tawwab, A. M., Ellimony, E. E. M., & Rabie, M. (2023). Solving a multi-objective solid transportation problem: a comparative study of alternative methods for decision-making. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*, *70*(1), 82.
2. Alexiou, D., & Katsavounis, S. (2015). A multi-objective transportation routing problem. *Operational Research*, *15*(2), 199-211.
3. Ali, W., Javaid, S., & Akbar, U. (2025). A Solution of Mathematical Multi-Objective Transportation Problems under Uncertain Environments. *Palestine journal of mathematics*, *14*(1).
4. Alolaiwy, M., Hawsawi, T., Zohdy, M., Kaur, A., & Louis, S. (2023). Multi-objective routing optimization in electric and flying vehicles: a genetic algorithm perspective. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(18), 10427.
5. Duda, J., Karkula, M., Puka, R., Skalna, I., Fierek, S., Redmer, A., & Kisielewski, P. (2022). Multi-objective optimization model for a multi-depot mixed fleet electric vehicle scheduling problem with real-world constraints. *Transport Problems*, *17*(4), 137-149.

6. Garg, H., & Rizk-Allah, R. M. (2021). A novel approach for solving rough multi-objective transportation problem: development and prospects. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, *40*(4), 149.

7. Gupta, S., Ali, I., & Ahmed, A. (2018). Multi-objective capacitated transportation problem with mixed constraint: a case study of certain and uncertain environment. *Opsearch*, *55*(2), 447-477.

8. Joshi, V. D., Agarwal, P., & Singh, J. (2025). Multi-objective Transportation Challenges. *Advances in Mathematical Modelling, Applied Analysis and Computation: Proceedings of ICMMAAC 2024–Volume 1*, *1394*, 196.

9. Kacher, Y., & Singh, P. (2024). A generalized parametric approach for solving different fuzzy parameter based multi-objective transportation problem. *Soft Computing*, *28*(4), 3187-3206.

10. Kaur, D., Mukherjee, S., & Basu, K. (2015). Solution of a multi-objective and multi-index real-life transportation problem using different fuzzy membership functions. *Journal of Optimization theory and applications*, *164*(2), 666-678.

11. Maity, G., Roy, S. K., & Verdegay, J. L. (2016). Multi-objective transportation problem with cost reliability under uncertain environment. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, *9*(5), 839-849.

12. Nomani, M. A., Ali, I., & Ahmed, A. (2017). A new approach for solving multi-objective transportation problems. *International journal of management science and engineering management*, *12*(3), 165-173.

13. Schmaranzer, D., Braune, R., & Doerner, K. F. (2021). Multi-objective simulation optimization for complex urban mass rapid transit systems. *Annals of Operations Research*, *305*(1), 449-486.

14. Tanabe, R., & Ishibuchi, H. (2020). An easy-to-use real-world multi-objective optimization problem suite. *Applied Soft Computing*, *89*, 106078.

15. Xiao, M., Chen, L., Feng, H., Peng, Z., & Long, Q. (2024). Smart city public transportation route planning based on multi-objective optimization: A review. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, *31*(6), 3351-3375.

Author's Declaration

As an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website /amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification /Designation /Address of my university/ college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission /Submission /Copyright /Patent /Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible, shuffled, or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who find trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher, then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website, or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

Sajendra Kumar Sirohi
Dr. Ajay Kumar Mishra

*****