



INFLUENCE OF TEACHING METHODS ON STUDENT PERFORMANCE IN BASIC MATHEMATICS

Dr. Ajay Kumar Mishra
Professor & Vice Chancellor
Sikkim Skill University
Namthang, Sikkim

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT /OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE /UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION.FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

ABSTRACT

Learning mathematics at the foundational level is heavily influenced by instructional methodology. Although studies in pedagogy have traditionally focused on qualitative results, there has been scant attention paid to the integration of formal mathematical constructs with learning theories. This paper introduces a theoretical and analytical framework that investigates the impact of teaching methodologies on the performance of students in basic mathematics. The performance of students is analyzed using learning vectors, instructional operators, and achievement functions. Basic mathematical ideas such as propositions, limits, derivatives, and logical equivalence are coupled with teaching methodologies like traditional pedagogy, constructivism, and problem-based learning. Definitions, theorems, proofs, and examples illustrate how organized reasoning improves arithmetic facility and conceptual understanding. A learning transformation framework is developed, with teaching methodologies represented by operators that transform learner state vectors. Convergence analysis reveals that concept-based instruction results in stable mastery states. The research work concludes that mathematically informed pedagogy has a profoundly positive impact on the performance of students by promoting analytical thinking, logical consistency, and sound understanding.

Keywords: *Teaching Methods, Basic Mathematics, Learning Operators, Student Performance, Mathematical Modeling, Pedagogy.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is a core subject in education as it helps to develop logical reasoning, analytical thinking, and systematic problem-solving skills that are fundamental in both academic and professional success. Mathematics is more than just procedural computation as it offers a



coherent and organized framework for learners to interpret patterns, relationships, and abstract structures that underpin scientific inquiry and technological development. By engaging with mathematical concepts, learners develop the ability to think precisely, express ideas clearly, and generalize from specific examples to general principles. At the foundational stage, learners are introduced to basic concepts of numbers, operations, relations, and elementary functions, which form the building blocks for advanced mathematical thinking and critical reasoning.

The mastery of these foundational concepts is essential as learners who develop poor conceptual understanding at the early stages tend to experience compounding difficulties that often lead to persistent learning struggles, decreased self-efficacy, and limited progress in advanced mathematics. Poor foundational conceptual understanding often leads learners to fall back on memorization rather than understanding, which hinders their capacity to apply knowledge in new contexts. On the other hand, a strong foundation in conceptual understanding leads to confidence, flexibility, and engagement with mathematics. Thus, it is important to ensure that there is a meaningful level of comprehension at the basic level, not only as a preparatory step but as a foundation for lifelong learning, which has a significant impact on students' academic paths and their ability to effectively tackle complex quantitative problems.

The development of basic mathematical proficiency is highly dependent on teaching methodology. Teaching methodologies not only affect the manner in which the instruction is delivered but also the extent to which students are able to absorb the concepts, develop logical reasoning skills, and apply the knowledge to novel problem situations. The conventional teacher-centered approach has traditionally relied on memorization, demonstration, and repetition, often resulting in immediate procedural skills but often lacking in conceptual understanding. On the other hand, modern learner-centered approaches focus on conceptual understanding, exploration, collaboration, and engagement. These approaches help learners to construct their own meaning, express their reasoning, and reflect on their problem-solving activities, thus facilitating cognitive development. As such, each approach has a different role to play in influencing learners' mathematical thinking, motivation, and performance.

In recent years, there has been a growing trend in educational research towards using analytical approaches that conceptualize learning as a structured and progressive process of knowledge transformation, as opposed to a static process of accumulating discrete facts. Based on this



approach, teaching practices can be analyzed as systematic processes that lead students from initial introduction to progressively more refined levels of competence through repeated cycles of instruction, practice, and feedback. These approaches are naturally compatible with mathematical modeling of growth, change, and convergence, providing a very useful tool for analyzing learning processes. Based on this approach, the current study proposes a theoretical framework that combines educational principles with mathematical reasoning to analyze the impact of teaching practices on student performance in basic mathematics. Through modeling learning as an organized and iterative process, this paper aims to offer a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding how effective teaching practices promote sustainable learning, conceptual unity, accuracy, and academic success. In addition, this framework aims to fill the theoretical gap between educational practices and mathematical theories, providing insights that can be used to inform teaching practices, curriculum development, and future research in mathematics education.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Akiba and Liang (2016) investigated the impact of teachers' professional learning activities on students' achievement growth and found that high-quality, content-prioritized professional learning had a positive impact on students' academic achievement. The authors clearly indicated that when teachers were actively engaged in learning communities, collaborative planning, and instructional improvement activities, there was a positive impact on students' achievement. The authors highlighted the importance of teachers' learning as a mediating factor between educational policy and instructional effectiveness. The authors clearly indicated that teachers' continuous improvement in pedagogical and content knowledge had a positive impact on instructional quality, which further had a positive impact on students' mathematical understanding and achievement.

Bhagat, Chang, and Chang (2016) investigated the effects of the flipped classroom strategy on learning mathematics concepts among high school students. The results showed that students who followed the flipped classroom strategy had significantly higher levels of conceptual understanding than those who followed the traditional lecture method. Besides, the students showed higher levels of engagement, autonomy, and self-directed learning. The study showed that the use of technology in learning environments enabled students to learn at their



own pace and use class time to discuss and solve problems. The findings of the study highlighted the effectiveness of blended learning strategies in promoting conceptual understanding in mathematics among students.

Blazar and Kraft (2017) investigated the role of teachers and teaching quality in shaping students' attitudes, behaviors, and academic outcomes. The authors' findings indicated that high-quality teaching was more than just improving test scores and included other positive aspects of teaching quality in terms of students' engagement, persistence, and learning orientations. The authors concluded that high-quality teaching had provided multidimensional benefits to students by developing their cognitive and socio-emotional skills. The study emphasized the significance of clear instructional quality, supportive classroom environments, and teacher-student interactions in developing students' motivation and persistence, implying that the effectiveness of teaching should be assessed from a holistic perspective rather than focusing solely on academic achievement.

Clark (2015) analyzed the impact of the flipped classroom approach on engagement and performance in mathematics classes for secondary students. The findings indicated that the flipped learning environment increased student engagement, collaboration, and performance in mathematics. Clark observed that students exhibited higher levels of personal responsibility for their learning and better class interaction, as the classroom time was utilized for problem-solving and individualized instruction. The study highlighted that student-centered approaches to instruction resulted in more interactive and engaging learning experiences, resulting in better performance.

3. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

To carry out an analytical investigation of the impact that teaching approaches have on the performance of students, it is necessary to develop a mathematical model that reflects the learning process in a manner that is both systematic and quantifiable. This paradigm views student learning as a dynamic and developing process, in which knowledge does not arise instantly but rather progresses step by step through constant interaction with instructional inputs. This framework was developed by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCEES). It is possible to consider each stage of learning as a state that evolves over time, with the course of time being influenced by the nature, quality, and efficacy of the teaching



approaches that are utilized. Within the framework of this approach, instructional methods are regarded as mathematical transformations or functions that operate on the pre-existing knowledge structures of students, thereby changing, reinforcing, and even reorganizing such structures at times. The ability to analyze learning in terms of measurable factors, such as conceptual understanding, skill acquisition, retention, and performance outcomes, is made possible by this abstraction.

The mathematical representation of teaching practices makes it feasible to compare and contrast various instructional methods, assess the efficiency of these methods, and forecast the impact that these methods will have on student learning under a variety of circumstances. The discovery of patterns, linkages, and potential gaps in the learning process is also made easier by such a model, which enables educators to optimize their teaching tactics in order to get better results. In addition, this method encourages the utilization of data-driven analysis, simulations, and modeling approaches in order to investigate the ways in which alterations in instructional inputs effect the performance of students over the course of educational time. A rigorous, objective, and analytical basis for understanding and improving educational practices is provided by the abstraction of teaching and learning into a mathematical framework. This, in turn, contributes to the development of teaching approaches that are more successful and evidence-based.

Definition 3.1 (Student Performance Function)

Let S denote the set of students and T represent the collection of teaching methods. A student performance function is defined as

$$P: S \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where $P(s, t)$ is the level of achievement reached by student s when taught using method t . The real-valued output can represent scores, conceptual understanding indices, or overall performance metrics aggregated from several learning outcomes, such as procedural fluency, reasoning skills, and problem-solving abilities.

This representation strongly conveys that student performance is not a standalone phenomenon but a combined result of characteristics and the teaching method. By incorporating the teaching method into the performance equation, this model clearly conveys the dependency of academic

achievement on teaching methods and offers a systematic approach to comparing the efficacy of teaching methods.

Definition 3.2 (Learning Operator)

For each teaching method $t \in T$, define a learning operator

$$M_t: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$

such that

$$L_{k+1} = M_t(L_k),$$

where L_k denotes the learner's knowledge state after the k -th instructional cycle. Each component of the state vector represents proficiency in a particular mathematical skill, such as arithmetic operations, logical reasoning, conceptual understanding, or problem-solving ability.

The learning operator reflects the overall impact of instruction, guided practice, feedback, and cognitive processing at each stage of learning. Iterative use of M_t models simulates progressive development of knowledge, enabling learning to be conceptualized as a systematic and iterative process. This model is in complete sync with modern theories of learning, which perceive understanding as a process of progressive refinement and reinforcement rather than an instantaneous act.

Remark 3.1

Conventional teacher-led learning tends to produce learning operators that are roughly linear, thereby mirroring the standardized presentation of subject matter, equal rates of progress, and shared criteria for evaluation. On the other hand, constructivist and problem-based learning tends to produce nonlinear mappings, which take into account the differences in rates of learning, epiphanies, and cognitive processes. From a teaching perspective, the difference between linear and nonlinear mappings underscores the adaptive process of learner-centered teaching, which is capable of accommodating differences while promoting conceptual engagement.

4. TEACHING METHODS AS TRANSFORMATIONS

To formally analyze the impact of different teaching strategies on the learning process, teaching practices are represented as mathematical transformations that act on the knowledge state of

the learners. Based on this model, every teaching strategy corresponds to a particular type of transformation that characterizes the learning process in terms of understanding development over a series of learning cycles. These transformations not only capture the direct impact of instruction but also the cumulative effect of practice, feedback, and cognitive engagement. This mathematical model of teaching practices allows them to be analyzed in terms of stability, convergence, and long-term effectiveness, thus providing a sound basis for comparing different teaching strategies. By modeling the learning process as a dynamic phenomenon that is controlled by well-structured transformations, it is possible to analyze the impact of different teaching strategies on closing conceptual gaps, restructuring knowledge, and directing learners towards mastery. Thus, this approach offers a sound theoretical basis for understanding classroom instruction as an organized process rather than a set of disconnected events, thereby connecting educational practice to mathematical reasoning.

Let

$$M_T(L) = AL + b, M_C(L) = f(L), M_P(L) = AL + g(L),$$

represent traditional, constructivist, and problem-based learning methods, respectively, where A is a coefficient matrix describing structured content delivery, b is a constant vector accounting for baseline instructional support, and f and g are nonlinear functions capturing learner-driven exploration and contextual problem solving.

The traditional method M_T typically reflects linear progression, as concepts are introduced sequentially with uniform pacing. Constructivist instruction M_C is modeled by a nonlinear mapping, representing individualized knowledge construction and conceptual restructuring. The problem-based approach M_P combines linear guidance with nonlinear reasoning processes, reflecting the integration of formal instruction and experiential learning.

Theorem 4.1 (Convergence to Mastery)

If a learning operator M_t corresponding to a teaching method t is a contraction mapping, then there exists a unique fixed point L^* such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_k = L^*.$$

Proof

By Banach's Fixed Point Theorem, for each contraction mapping on a complete metric space, there exists a unique fixed point to which the iterates converge. Since \mathbb{R}^n is a complete space, repeated application of the learning operator M_t to any initial learner state produces a sequence that converges to a unique limit L^* . This limit can be viewed as the stabilized knowledge state achieved by instruction, which corresponds to mastery of the targeted mathematical concepts.

Remark 4.1

From a pedagogical perspective, the implication of this finding is that teaching is a contraction process because with each iteration of teaching, the gaps in concepts are reduced, and the understanding is honed to be more refined. Teaching methodologies that are centered on providing explanations, practice, and reinforcement of concepts are naturally contractionary because they help the students converge towards mastery. On the other hand, unstructured teaching methodologies may not necessarily result in convergence.

5. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS AND LEARNING

One of the most important goals of basic mathematics education is to help students comprehend the changes that occur in quantities and relationships over time. This is the basis for analytical thinking and helps students make sense of real-world phenomena. Concepts of calculus, even when presented in an informal manner at early levels of instruction, offer powerful ways of modeling variation, growth, and relationships between variables. Of these concepts, the derivative is fundamental in that it measures the rate at which a quantity changes with respect to another quantity. In the current learning framework, the derivative is viewed not only as a mathematical concept but also as a measure of conceptual change, which indicates how quickly student understanding is developing in response to instructional input.

Definition 5.1 (Derivative)

The derivative of a function $f(x)$ is defined as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$



Mathematically, the derivative is the rate of change of a function with respect to its independent variable. Pedagogically, the derivative can be considered as the sensitivity of the learning outcomes to small changes in instructional effort, practice intensity, or cognitive engagement. The above explanation emphasizes the impact of small teaching interventions on the learning outcomes.

Example 5.1

Let $f(x) = x^2$. Then

$$f'(x) = 2x.$$

This result shows that the rate of change increases proportionally with x . In an educational setting, this shows that as basic understanding improves, students are able to assimilate new ideas at an accelerated rate, which results in rapid learning development. Early mastery, therefore, establishes a positive feedback loop, where clarity in concepts promotes rapid development in future concepts.

Remark 5.1

Using derivatives in a conceptual manner, such as growth, speed, improvement, or learning progress, assists students in transcending the realm of symbolic manipulation and entering the realm of conceptual understanding. When learning focuses on meaning rather than procedure, students gain a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and their applications. This assists in the transfer of knowledge from the classroom to problem-solving applications, enhances analytical skills, and promotes long-term retention. As a result, conceptual introduction to derivatives, even at an elementary level, plays a pivotal role in the development of mathematical thinking and adaptive learning.

6. LOGICAL FOUNDATIONS

Logical reasoning is the bedrock of mathematics and an integral part of developing problem-solving skills in students. At a foundational level, it is necessary for students to develop the ability to distinguish between correct and incorrect reasoning, analyze statements

systematically, and support conclusions with sound reasoning. This is not only important for mathematics but also for higher-order thinking. Teaching formal logic using propositions and logical rules helps students develop their ability to structure ideas, identify relationships, and make informed decisions. Therefore, teaching logical reasoning is the foundation of developing conceptual understanding and mathematical rigor, which directly impacts enhanced learning outcomes.

Definition 6.1 (Proposition)

A proposition is a declarative statement that possesses a definite truth value; that is, it is either true or false, but not both simultaneously. Examples include numerical statements such as “ $5 + 3 = 8$ ” and relational assertions such as “ $2 < 7$.” In contrast, questions, commands, and ambiguous expressions do not qualify as propositions, as they lack a determinate truth value.

Understanding propositions allows students to objectively assess mathematical statements and provides a basis for building logical arguments, creating proofs, and justifying mathematical results. The ability to think propositionally helps students to go beyond intuitive reasoning and develop systematic reasoning skills.

Theorem 6.1 (De Morgan’s Laws)

For any propositions P and Q ,

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q, \neg(P \vee Q) = \neg P \wedge \neg Q.$$

Proof

The equivalence of both identities can be established using truth tables. By examining all possible combinations of truth values for P and Q , it is observed that the expressions on each side of the equalities produce identical truth values in every case. Therefore, the pairs of expressions are logically equivalent, which completes the proof.

Remark 6.1

From an educational perspective, systematic exposure to logical laws such as De Morgan’s principles enhances reasoning precision and reduces common cognitive errors in problem solving. Students who are trained in logical analysis are better positioned to understand the conditions, organize arguments logically, and check solutions logically. This is because logical



training enhances accuracy and helps in the transfer of logical skills to algebraic manipulation and problem-solving. The incorporation of logical reasoning in basic mathematics education, therefore, enhances both conceptual understanding and maturity.

7. WORKED EXAMPLES

Examples are an important link between mathematical concepts and understanding in the classroom. Examples help students learn ways of solving problems by illustrating methods of solving problems. In basic math education, examples help reinforce number sense, operational fluency, and analytical skills. The following examples show how structured approaches improve learning outcomes.

Example 7.1 (Addition by Decomposition)

Compute:

$$8 + 5.$$

Solution

$$8 + 5 = (8 + 2) + 3 = 10 + 3 = 13.$$

This decomposition technique decomposes the problem by first creating a base ten. This technique helps to enhance the number sense and mental calculations of the learners by allowing them to reason beyond mere counting.

Example 7.2 (Linear Achievement Model)

Let student achievement be represented by the function

$$A(x) = 3x + 2,$$

where x denotes instructional input.

A one-unit increase in instructional units leads to a three-unit improvement in performance. This linear relationship shows how well-structured instruction can lead to predictable gains in learning. The constant term represents prior knowledge, and the coefficient of x represents instructional effectiveness.

Remark 7.1

These examples illustrate the need for explicit strategy instruction and modeling. Decomposition strategies encourage conceptual understanding of arithmetic, while simple function representations enable students to visualize the growth pattern. In combination, they illustrate how mathematical structure underlies both computational skill and analytical thinking.

8. ANALYTICAL LEARNING MODEL

To capture the effect of instruction on student performance in a quantitative manner, achievement is considered to be a dynamic system that is fueled by the constant input of instruction. In this regard, the process of learning is considered to be an iterative process whereby every cycle of instruction makes a small contribution to the development of concepts.

Achievement of students in a single instructional phase is denoted by

$$P = AL_0 + \varepsilon,$$

where L_0 denotes the learner's initial knowledge state, A represents the instructional impact matrix, and ε captures cognitive variability arising from individual differences, motivation, and environmental factors.

After k instructional iterations, performance evolves according to

$$P_k = A^k L_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^i \varepsilon.$$

This expression illustrates that achievement depends both on accumulated instructional effects and on variability introduced at each learning stage. The term $A^k L_0$ reflects how initial understanding is progressively transformed, while the summation term aggregates cognitive influences across instructional cycles.

If the instructional matrix satisfies $\|A\| < 1$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k = (I - A)^{-1} \varepsilon,$$

where I denotes the identity matrix. This outcome shows convergence towards a stable performance state, suggesting that effective instruction causes learners to converge towards a stable level of achievement despite initial differences.



Remark 8.1

From an educational point of view, the implication of this convergence is that well-structured teaching approaches minimize learning variability and ensure uniform learning mastery. Teaching approaches that ensure clarity, reinforcement, and feedback are essential in ensuring that students' performance becomes stable over time, emphasizing the significance of systematic pedagogy in ensuring sustainable learning outcomes.

9. CONCLUSION

This paper provides a solid theoretical basis for analyzing the impact of teaching methods on student performance in basic math concepts by incorporating teaching methods into a mathematical framework. By representing teaching as operators of learning and learning outcomes as convergence to stable mastery values, this paper clearly shows that teaching as an effective process is always a structured and iterative process that refines learning in a systematic manner. The combination of definitions, logical statements, derivatives, and worked examples clearly shows that math learning is most effective when students are exposed to both the meaning and the structure of math concepts. This approach not only improves computational skills but also helps to develop critical thinking and accurate reasoning skills. The results clearly show that teaching methods based on formal reasoning and conceptual unity are more effective than procedural teaching methods, resulting in long-lasting learning outcomes. This mathematical framework gives teachers a sound basis for designing teaching methods and researchers a mathematical perspective to study learning processes further.

REFERENCES

1. Akiba, M., & Liang, G. (2016). *Effects of teacher professional learning activities on student achievement growth. The journal of educational research, 109(1), 99-110.*
2. Bhagat, K. K., Chang, C. N., & Chang, C. Y. (2016). *The impact of the flipped classroom on mathematics concept learning in high school. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 19(3), 134-142.*
3. Blazar, D., & Kraft, M. A. (2017). *Teacher and teaching effects on students' attitudes and behaviors. Educational evaluation and policy analysis, 39(1), 146-170.*

4. Clark, K. R. (2015). *The effects of the flipped model of instruction on student engagement and performance in the secondary mathematics classroom. Journal of Educators online, 12(1), 91-115.*
5. Emanet, E. A., & Kezer, F. (2021). *The effects of student-centered teaching methods used in mathematics courses on mathematics achievement, attitude, and anxiety: a meta-analysis study. Participatory Educational Research, 8(2), 240-259.*
6. Estapa, A., & Nadolny, L. (2015). *The effect of an augmented reality enhanced mathematics lesson on student achievement and motivation. Journal of STEM education, 16(3).*
7. Godfrey, W. (2023). *The Effect Of Teacher's Instructional Methods On The Learners Academic Performance In Mathematics Subject In Secondary Schools, A Case Study Of Buwesswa Secondary School In Manafwa District.*
8. Isa, S. G., Mammam, M. A., Badar, Y., & Bala, T. (2020). *The impact of teaching methods on academic performance of secondary school students in Nigeria. International Journal of Development Research, 10(6), 37382-37385.*
9. Iyamuremye, E., Ndayambaje, I., & Muwonge, C. M. (2021). *Influence of teaching approaches on students' performance in mathematics: A meta-analysis of quasi-experimental studies in Africa. African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 17(2), 73-94.*
10. Lin, Y. W., Tseng, C. L., & Chiang, P. J. (2016). *The effect of blended learning in mathematics course. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 13(3), 741-770.*
11. Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2020). *A comparison of flipped learning with gamification, traditional learning, and online independent study: the effects on students' mathematics achievement and cognitive engagement. Interactive Learning Environments, 28(4), 464-481.*
12. Malik, R. H., & Rizvi, A. A. (2018). *Effect of Classroom Learning Environment on Students' Academic Achievement in Mathematics at Secondary Level. Bulletin of Education and research, 40(2), 207-218.*
13. Mbedule, N. L. (2020). *The Influence of Teaching Methods on Students' Academic Performance in Secondary School Basic Mathematics, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania (Doctoral dissertation, The Open University of Tanzania).*



14. Muema, J., Mulwa, D., & Mailu, S. (2018). *Relationship between teaching method and students' performance in Mathematics in public secondary schools in Dadaab Sub County, Garissa County; Kenya. IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education, 8(5), 59-63.*
15. Yildirim, I. (2017). *The effects of gamification-based teaching practices on student achievement and students' attitudes toward lessons. The Internet and Higher Education, 33, 86-92.*

Author's Declaration

As an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website /amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my hole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification /Designation /Address of my university/ college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission /Submission /Copyright /Patent /Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible, shuffled, or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who find trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher, then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website, or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

Dr. Ajay Kumar Mishra
