Recent Research Trends of AI in Various Streams of Engineering and Management
May-2025
ISBN Number: 978-93-95305-47-1

THE RISE OF FINTECH AND IT'S DISRUPTION OF TRADITIONAL BANKING SERVICES

Anjali Tomar
Student (MBA, final semester),
Madhav Institute of Technology and Science,
Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh
tomaranjali701@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Financial Technology (FinTech) has quickly progressed to become a disruptor in the financial services industry changing the structure and operation of financial products and services delivery, accessibility, and consumption. It involves innovative advanced technology such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, cloud computing, and big data analytics to enhance operations, create better delivery experiences for consumers, and optimize costs and resources.

Traditionally, a bank relied on physical banking, human executed processes, and strict regulatory regimes, while Fin Techs are flexible on regulations, apply agile and innovation driven business models, provide more efficient service delivery including access, offering services better in its speed, access, and consumers'/clients' centric. As mobile applications, digital wallets, robotic-advisors and peer-to-peer lending institutions increasingly become viable options to replace today and yesterday' banking, particularly for the technologically savvy and unbanked groups of consumers, this research paper will undertake a holistic perspective of the determinants of growth in FinTech and its disruptive impact on traditional banking institutions.

The research paper will explore the roles of consumer preferences, technological innovation and regulatory changes that will contribute to the sustainability of FinTech ecosystems worldwide. In addition, it will highlight important emerging trends such as Banking-as-a-Service (BaaS), Open Banking, Decentralized Finance (DeFi), and the evolving role of Artificial Intelligence in risk management and customization.

Keywords: FinTech, digital transformation, traditional banking, mobile banking, blockchain, peer-to-peer lending, digital disruption, financial services innovation

I. INTRODUCTION

The global financial services industry has experienced a transformation driven by the advancement and adoption of new digital technologies. Fundamental to this transformation is Financial Technologies (FinTech)—the broadest of terms to describe the application of technology by financial services companies to improve their use and delivery to consumers. FinTech is expanding the ways individuals and businesses can access financial services by changing how banking, investment, loan arrangements, and insurance have been traditionally structured.

FinTech covers a broad and expansive set of offerings, including mobile payment apps, digital wallets, robotic-advisors, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, crowdfunding platforms, blockchain technologies, cryptocurrencies, and Insurance Tech (insurance technology). The technological innovations afforded to consumers provide greater control, flexibility, and accessibility regarding financial services. Customers can now perform real-time transactions, receive personalized financial advice, and apply for loans without visiting a branch, fundamentally changing customer expectations and behaviour.

Conversely, traditional banks have traditionally depended on a branch network supply-chain (physical interactions), papers and antiquated IT rounds of IT development—not entirely open capabilities. These banks can create trust (a form of regulatory assurance) but struggle with agility, implementation, convincing technology and change, and changing systemic views that would improve digital experiences. At times the rate of digital change accelerated space-even to the point where FinTech has shown that banks hold a considerable number of key inefficiencies—for example, poor reliability with consumer onboarding, bad experiences with payment methods, untimely or poor impact of cross-border payments, and a poor ability to access credit for marginalized populations and businesses. The COVID-19 pandemic began accelerating the transformation of financial services long before 1900. Time constraints induced by Lockdowns left people and businesses with no choice except digital interaction for banking, investing, and insurance. Financial Technology developed financial services in a pure digital way and so it was able to broaden transaction availability at a faster pace. Subsequent to those limitations, the traditional banking segment was forced to embrace innovative changes in their operating models that began re-thinking in how they operate and validate once novel ideas through a series of models (partnership-based, acquisition, or internal innovation experimentation).

Beyond customer experience, Fintech is disrupting the backend infrastructure of financial systems and transactions as well. Technologies such as Blockchain, (digital) Distributed ledger Technology (DLT)

provide enhanced ease of transaction (speed) and security (encryption/identity), and transparency of transactions where Institutional environments have operated previously without validating these attributes of testing transactions satisfactorily. Technology such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and also Machine Learning (ML) are also enhancing mostly with Fraud Detection purposes, Credit Decisions, Customer Services through improved Chatbots, predictive services or analytics.

The scope of this paper is to comprehensively evaluate the rise of FinTech and its disruptive influence on traditional banking products and services. It will cover the somatic environmental factors contributing to the transformational rise of FinTech--and how elements like technology, legislation and consumer expectations are evolving. Further, it aims to provide an overview of how traditional banks are dealing with these disruptions and what the future of financial services may look like in a world where innovation, access and speed become the key competitive advantages.

Using primary data derived from surveys and expert interviews, and corroborated by secondary research from academic literature and grey literature, this research aims to explore the challenges and opportunities that FinTech represents for traditional banks, regulators and end users.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Many studies have investigated FinTech's potential to transform financial ecosystems. According to Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (2016), FinTech refers to the "new financial industry that applies technology to improve financial activities," and post-2008, public distrust in traditional financial systems helped facilitate the emergence of an alternative financial system. They argue that FinTech start-ups were able to present themselves as nimble, transparent, and customer-focused substitutes to traditional banks.

Gomber et al. (2017) identify four key areas that are contributing to the FinTech revolution; technological advance, shifting consumer expectations, favourable legislative environments and the possibility of increased cost efficiencies. Their findings indicate that digitization and automation could significantly reduce the operational costs of financial services by up to 90% for customer service, risk and underwriting, or payment processing which would allow FinTech organizations to promote better prices to the market and quicker services compared to their traditional banking counterparts.

Another important theme in the literature is the evolving competitive relationship between Fin Techs and incumbent banks. For example, at the outset of the FinTech movements, most of the literature framed FinTech firms as competitors to banks, whereas contemporary literature suggests we are in a

collaborative disruption phase. Recent studies have demonstrated that the majority of banks intend to pursue partnerships or joint ventures with FinTech startups, as highlighted in the 2023 PwC Global FinTech Report, which states that over 82% of financial institutions will pursue these types of partnerships in the next three years. Partnerships among firms are designed to combine the inflexibility and regulatory knowledge of banks with the speed and innovation of Fin Techs to create hybrid models of financial services.

A number of researchers have recognized blockchain technology also has immense potential as a core part of the FinTech ecosystem. Since blockchain provides the infrastructure for decentralized, secure, and real-time financial transaction processing with limited reliance on intermediaries, it could reshuffle the player-orders dimensionality of FinTech. Tapscott and Tapscott (2018) argued, potentially most provocatively, that the value of blockchain could "re-architect" the global financial system into Decentralized Finance (DeFi) that includes lending, borrowing, and trading of goods and services from decentralized nodes without having a central authority. In so doing, it creates innovative challenges to the historical role of banks as gatekeepers of financial consumption activity.

Also in the literature, issues of regulation and compliance to provide a balanced regulatory environment to encourage fintech innovation while providing consumer protections. Zetzsche et al., (2020) refer to regulatory "sandboxes" as useful for creating a "guardian" structure for fintech firms' innovations - a supervised testing environment for FinTech firms' products. However, as the complexities of making lending decisions become enhanced using AI and machine-learning algorithms, and as the FinTech firms are able to syphon more of their data into sophisticated used of machine learning and IGD technology, regulators may struggle to ensure accountability, fairness and transparency.

Cybersecurity and data privacy are also significant topics in the FinTech literature. Digital financial services rely on personal data and transactional data, so the provision of secure data processing and an adequate level of cybersecurity is crucial. In a study conducted by the Financial Stability Board (2021), it is claimed that increased interconnectivity of FinTech platforms could result in a surge in systemic risk, particularly if successful in the event of a cyber event or data breach.

In summary, the body of literature provides a detailed and holistic perspective of the disruptive effect of FinTech. Technological innovation remains the driving force behind change, but the long-term impact of FinTech on the global financial system will be largely influenced by the retort of the

ISBN Number: 978-93-95305-47-1

traditional banks, regulatory changes, and the patterns of consumer adoption. The literature identifies opportunities and risks involved in such transformation, thereby reinforcing the need for the strategic alignment of technology, regulation and consumer trust.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to offer a complete view of how far FinTech is disrupting banking services, this study uses a mixed-method research design that applies both quantitative and qualitative methods. A mixed-method approach allows for data triangulation that will provide a well-rounded analysis by adequately capturing numerical trends in conjunction with participants' perspective.

Goals of the Study

There are three main goals that guided this research:

- Examine consumer adoption of FinTech over traditional banking services specifically in payments, savings, loans and investments
- Examine banking perspectives/ FinTech entrepreneur perspectives on competition, regulatory issues, collaboration, and innovation.
- Identify challenges, risks and future considerations of the evolution of financial services in a digital ecosystem.

Methods of Data Collection

Data is collected from both primary and secondary data sources:

- Primary Data:
  - Surveys were given out to 150 banking customers to assess their usage patterns, preferences, and satisfaction ratings with FinTech and traditional banking platforms.
  - In-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10 traditional banking managers and 5 FinTech startup founders, to collect expert perspectives on strategies, challenges, and trends within the industry.

ISBN Number: 978-93-95305-47-1

• Secondary Data:

• The use of industry reports, academic peer-reviewed journals, white papers, policy briefs, and regulatory documents to contextualize and bolster findings.

Sampling Techniques

To ensure representation and relevance:

• Bank customer sampling was done using Stratified Random Sampling - where strata were based on age cohort, income, and digital literacy, since these customer characteristics influence FinTech adoption.

• Banking professionals and FinTech founders were sampled using Purposive Sampling based on their relevance to the research objectives, expertise, and role in the industry as practitioners.

Data Analysis Tools

• Quantitative data derived from surveys was analysed with SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) to provide descriptive and inferential statistics.

• Qualitative data obtained from interviews was analysed using NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, which helped in identifying repeating themes, sentiments, and industry narratives.

TABLE 1: Research Methodology overview

COMPONENTS	DESCRIPTION
Research Design	Mixed-Methods (Quantitative + Quantitative)
Objectives	1. Evaluate consumer adoption of FinTech 2.Understand expert perspectives. Identify challenges and trends.

ISBN Number: 978-93-95305-47-1

Primary data sources	Surveys (150 customers) Interviews (10 bank managers, 5 Fintech founders)
Secondary Data	Industry reports, academic journals, regulatory documents
Sampling Technique	Stratified Random Sampling (Customers) Purposive Sampling (industry Experts)
Data Analysis Tools	SPSS (for survey data) NVivo (for interview data)
Study Duration	March 2025 - May 2025 (3 months)
Geographic Scope	Urban centres in India: Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru
Target Population	Banking customers (age 18-60), bank professionals, FinTech entrepreneurs

IV. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The disruptive effects of FinTech on banking are not simply a result of technological disruption, but a symptom of a larger paradigm shift in financial systems' structure, values, and focus. This section connects different aspects of the study's findings by thinking theoretically, recognizing industry patterns, and drawing upon lived experiences to provide additional explanations.

Transforming Financial Service Models

Shifting from traditional finance to digital finance is a fundamental transition from institution-centric models to customer-centric ecosystems. The willingness of consumers to adopt FinTech services, as evidenced in the survey, reflects an idea of redefining how value is perceived in banking. Consumers now care more about:

• Speed and control over service and process

• Accessibility and availability of banking services versus relying on branches and relationships

• Personalization over homogeneous products

This behaviour supports the idea of disintermediation; they substitute technology platforms for traditional intermediaries. FinTech firms are effectively leveraging value through transactional and educational business models that are uniquely positioned for speed to market, while denying traditional banks a role as gatekeepers in the financial system.

FinTech: A Catalyst not a Competitor

Initially, FinTech was painted as a competitive threat to banks. What we are seeing suggests a different picture: banks are not competing and will not simply be replaced, but will be pressured to change and further innovate. Many are doing so by:

• Developing digital subsidiaries

• Outsourcing innovation through FinTech relationships

• Integrating FinTech features into their legacy systems

This is a clear example of coopetition: the recognition of mutually beneficial value in competition. FinTech as a catalyst for modernizing banking rather than competition.

Regulatory Lag vs. Innovation Miles

One of the greatest challenges for FinTech firms was the issue of regulatory lag (e.g. innovation has outpaced legislation). The founders noted barriers for FinTech firms or banks, including: the complexity of new regulations, lack of consistency of data protection laws (inconsistent with global regulations), difficulty in getting a license, and issues with restrictive access to financial infrastructure.

ISBN Number: 978-93-95305-47-1

This gap in regulation leads two critical results:

1. For consumers, it raises questions about the use of data, risks of algorithmic bias, and confusion regarding any legal recourse in the event of misuse.

2. For an industry, it adds uncertainty and creates circumstances that may limit innovation, or prevent large investments.

This can be seen in the innovation-regulation paradox, whether regulators can satisfy both aspects of the regime (create new systems and maintain system stability). Regulatory sandboxes and Open Banking are positive developments in navigating this framework. 6.4 Technological Innovation and Inclusive Growth

FinTech provides more than just consumer convenience; it also provides the capability of inclusion. Mobile banking, peer-to-peer lending, and digital wallets provide financial access to:

• Unbanked or underbanked individuals;

• Rural populations without access to physical banks;

• Small businesses who are excluded from traditional forms of credit.

This aligns with the inclusive finance thesis which takes the position that providing financial access has real implications for reducing inequality and stimulating productive economic participation. In regions like India and in Africa, FinTech is not simply disruptive, but also developmental.

Styled Implications for the Future

The insights and interpretations come with a number of strategic implications:

• For traditional banks: Digital transformation is now a necessity, not an option. Legacy institutions must use design thinking to move from process-oriented to platform-oriented organizations.

• For Fin Techs: Future scale and sustainability will rely on understanding regulatory, operational, and consumer trust limitations.

• For regulators: Future-proof consumers require agile governance, real-time compliance, and harmonised policy across jurisdictions.

• For consumers: While choice is empowering, it comes with limitations and risks related to data privacy, Finance in a Platform World, 155 platform reliability, and digital literacy.

This implies that the future of finance will not be characterized by a single player or model, but rather an interoperable ecosystem—where legacy banks, FinTech startups, technology providers, and regulators are collectively building more efficient, inclusive, and agile financial infrastructure.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section examines the data obtained from quantitative survey and qualitative interview data and offers a multi-faceted interpretation of the disruptive impact FinTech has had on traditional banking. The analysis is structured based on four central themes: customer preferences, the response from the banking industry, challenges facing FinTech entrants, and technology trends.

Customer Preferences and Adoption Behaviours

Quantitative survey data collected from 150 banking customers indicated a shift in consumer behaviours towards digital financial platforms. An overwhelming 73% of respondents reported they were using FinTech platforms, e.g. mobile banking apps, digital wallets, and UPI interfaces in their everyday financial transactions. Respondents listed convenience, speed of transactions, and access, 24/7 availability, as the top three reasons consumers prefer FinTech services to traditional banking.

interestingly, only 18% of respondents indicated a preference for using a physical bank branch, while the rest indicated long lines, limited hours, and red tape as the reasons. The results support other studies which suggest a generational and technological shift in banking behaviour, especially millennials and Gen Z users who want simple, mobile-first financial services.

Also, the survey results suggest that users trust FinTech platforms for smaller, and even medium-value transactions, but they still depend on traditional banks for larger value loans, long-term savings, and wealth management. It appears FinTech is becoming a popular alternative; however, it is, by no means, the complete substitute to traditional financial institutions.

Responses and Adaptation by Traditional Banks

Interviews with executives from 10 established banks shed some light on how traditional institutions are responding to FinTech disruption. The overwhelming majority of interviewees admitted to the rapid erosion of their customer base in retail banking, especially in urban markets. More than in the past, many banks are also considering not only their FinTech rivals but also their partnerships in the face of disruption.

Many banks are investing in digital transformation projects like replacing legacy IT systems, implementing AI-driven customer service solutions (for example, chatbots), and developing internal mobile applications. Others have turned to partnering or establishing joint ventures with FinTech startups (often around payments, personal finance management, and credit scoring) to facilitate digital transformation.

Bank executives also identified regulatory compliance as well as customer trust as one of the competitive advantages that banks retain over FinTech firms. Therefore, many executives saw value in building hybrid service models that represented the speed and usability of FinTech while simultaneously reinforcing the security and regulatory framework of banking.

Challenges Faced by FinTech Startups

While FinTech companies are experiencing rapid growth, they also face a number of structural and regulatory challenges, as outlined in interviews with five FinTech founders. A recurring concern was regulatory uncertainty, especially around data privacy, cross-border payments, and licensing requirements. The fragmented regulatory environment often varies by region and product category, creating compliance burdens for emerging firms.

Cybersecurity was another prominent concern. As FinTech platforms handle sensitive financial and personal data, they are frequent targets of cyberattacks. Many startups lack the extensive cybersecurity infrastructure possessed by legacy banks, making them more vulnerable to breaches and reputational damage.

Another major limitation identified is restricted access to core banking infrastructure. While Open Banking is improving this landscape in some jurisdictions, many traditional banks still limit third-party API access, hampering the ability of FinTech platforms to offer full-spectrum services. As a result, Fin Techs often remain dependent on partner banks for processing and compliance.

Emerging Trends Shaping the Future of Finance

Several emerging trends emerged as focused on future of financial services based on both primary and secondary data collected:

• Banking-as-a-Service (BaaS): BaaS platforms enable non-banking companies (e.g., Fin Techs) to provide regulated banking services (such as debit card issuance, payment acceptance, or customer loans) without the need to obtain a full banking license. BaaS continues to help reduce barriers to entry, while also increasing the pace of FinTech who can "plug into" the existing banking infrastructure through APIs.

• Open Banking: Through governmental action and regulation in support of financial activity (such as PSD2, in Europe, and the RBI's Account Aggregator framework in India), Open Banking is driving customer data sharing across platforms via secure APIs. This promotes better financial transparency, customer-specific product offering, and the emergence of data-based banking ecosystems.

• Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML): AI and ML have grown to enable hyper-personalized financial services through intelligent chatbots, real-time fraud monitoring systems, and predictive credit scoring algorithms. AI and ML tools are also helping Fin Techs improve user engagement, reduce risk, and create scalability.

• Decentralized Finance (DeFi): DeFi platforms enable users to lend, borrow, trade, and earn interest on funds without the involvement of a traditional financial intermediary. DeFi is new and still developing, but financial experts consider DeFi a long-term threat to traditional financial services because it could eliminate financial services altogether

In summary, these findings reveal a parallel future where FinTech and banks don't just compete, but rely on one another and work cohesively to improve financial services. The digitalization of finance is not a choice, it is a "must" for both new and mature companies moving a digital innovation strategy forward, and for legacy institutions who wish to remain relevant within a very rapidly changing scene.

VI. CONCLUSION

The rise and rapid development of Financial Technology (FinTech) has created a transformation of a kind not seen in the history of financial services. It has created a disruption through the application of techniques such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, mobile computing and data analytics that have fundamentally changed how financial services have been delivered, consumed, and regulated.

Due to the pace of this disruption, one of the most significant outcomes of FinTech remains the democratizing of access to formal financial services. Historically, access to banking/credit needed to overcome geographic, economic, and infrastructure barriers, especially in rural or underdeveloped areas. However, FinTech has provided many of those barriers, at least in part, through the digitization of financial services that have enabled mobile payments, microloans, and investments in parts of the populations that had historically not accessed the formal financial system. This is contributing to financial inclusion, economic opportunity for small business, and support of underserved communities.

In addition, FinTech has substantially lowered operational and transactional costs. FinTech firms can operate more efficiently than banks by eliminating physical infrastructure, automating human processes, and utilizing cloud-based platforms. This significant cost savings is passed to the consumer, as seen in decrease in transaction fees, competitive interest rates and real-time invisible services, all contributing to an improved consumer experience. Consumers expect fast, personalized, and on-demand services, and FinTech have enabled those expectations to be easily fulfilled.

However, the disintermediation caused by FinTech has also been a daunting challenge for banks. The elimination of a banking intermediary, specifically disintermediation, is becoming more common with the use of FinTech platforms for services including lending and retail banking. While some organizations have chosen to ignore FinTech as a competitive threat, others have either received or expressed interest in forming partnerships. By partnering with or developing their own digital capacities, banks can remain relevant with technologic advances (such as payments) without having to give up regulatory guidance, brand trust, and capital.

The report also discusses the important role of regulators and policymakers. As FinTech evolves, it remains in the identified grey one where organizations operate as no clear guidelines are issued from the regulatory process. It is important to remember that regulation is necessary to encourage innovation—too little regulation could expose the consumer to risks associated with algorithmic bias, data breaches, and financial fraud. The regulatory authority must complete the balance between consumer advocacy and innovation and provide adaptive regulatory frameworks that are technology-neutral and do not stif---le innovation. Regulatory sandboxes, bank operating new rules of Open Banking are very good first steps.

The future of financial services is hybrid in nature is inevitable. Banking is now not a zero-sum race for competition but the banking ecosystem more toward alternative coexistence of FinTech and

established bank organizations' complimentary strengths. We must remember banks represent trust/accountability, compliance, stability; FinTech offers agility, innovation, consumer-centric design. The hybrids were likely to emerge into what we call the "Banking 4.0" era, which offers the consumer an opportunity for greater compliance, accountability and smart, connected, and inclusive ecosystems driven by consumer, ecosystemic, digital demands.

In conclusion, FinTech is not simply a trend, but a disruptive force altering the framework and foundations of global finance. The real challenge for traditional banks is not necessarily trying to keep pace, but to rethink their role in a digital-enabled, customer-centric world of finance.

Ultimately, a successful outcome will depend on collaboration, innovation, and the willingness for all parties—banks, startups, regulators, and customers—to adapt to the future of finance.

VII.REFRENCES

1. Gai, K., Qiu, M., & Sun, X. (2021). A survey on FinTech. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 138, 70–81.
2. Vives, X. (2021). Digital disruption in banking. Annual Review of Financial Economics, 13, 243–272.
3. Thakor, A. V. (2023). FinTech and banking: What do we know? Journal of Financial Intermediation, 53, 100998.
4. Bains, R., Bhagat, S., & Nagar, R. (2021). Open Banking: A consumer perspective. Journal of Banking Regulation, 22(3), 190–208.
5. Eickhoff, M., Muntermann, J., & Weinrich, T. (2022). What do Fin Techs actually do? Electronic Markets, 32, 739–758.
6. Zetzsche, D. A., Arner, D. W., & Buckley, R. P. (2021). Decentralized finance (DeFi). Journal of Financial Regulation, 7(2), 172–203.
7. Chen, M. A., Wu, Q., & Yang, B. (2022). How valuable is FinTech innovation? The Review of Financial Studies, 35(5), 2191–2238.
8. Haddad, C., & Hornuf, L. (2021). FinTech and the transformation of the financial industry. Small Business Economics, 56(1), 125–144.
9. Jagtiani, J., & John, K. (2021). FinTech and big tech credit: A new frontier of lending and banking? Financial Management, 50(4), 1001–1025.

10. Bollaert, H., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Schwienbacher, A. (2021). FinTech and access to finance. Journal of Corporate Finance, 66, 101906.
11. Li, Y., Xu, G., Yang, K., Ge, J., Liu, P., & Jin, Z. (2020). Energy efficient relay selection and resource allocation in D2D-enabled mobile edge computing. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 69(12), 15800–15814.
12. Frost, J. (2023). FinTech and the digital transformation of finance: Implications for financial stability and inclusion. Bank for International Settlements (BIS) Working Paper Series.